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- Humane treatment of cows
- Space to roam - pasture based production
- Fed grass with no unnatural use of steroids, antibiotics or hormones
- Profitable, productive and efficient....and organic
-Eco-friendly and sustainable


## Wisconsin Milk Cows



## Wisconsin Milk (lb) Per Cow



## Wisconsin Average Herd Size



## Wisconsin \# Dairy Herds



Note: Lloensed herd data only avallable from 1993.
Data prior to August 2003 Includes a small number of goat and sheep dalry herds.

## The Wisconsin Dairy Industry

- $77 \%$ of WI dairy cows are housed in freestalls


# Disconnect between consumer preferences and producer actions 

## Welcome

To navigate the site, please click the tabs at the top of the page
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- Provide a comfortable place to rest that is designed to meet the space requirement of the animal, and not inhibit rising or lying movements.
- Provide enough feed and water space for each animal to optimize health.
- Wherever possible, provide exposure to natural light and ventilation, but utilize mechanical assistance when needed.
- Accommodate cows and calves in groups which are socially stable over time, and manage groups to minimize movements between them.
- Design barn layouts that do not result in undue time away from a place to eat and rest.
- Design facilities to reduce the risk for spread of disease between neighbors.


## The Question.

## Can we build and manage

 confinement housed freestall systems that achieve high performance and excellent well-being?J. Dairy Sci. 98:3059-3070
http://dx.doi.org/10.3168/jds.2014-8369
© American Dairy Science Association ${ }^{\oplus}, 2015$.
Cluster analysis of Dairy Herd Improvement data to discover trends in performance characteristics in large Upper Midwest dairy herds
R. L. Brotzman, N. B. Cook, K. Nordlund, T. B. Bennett, A. Gomez Rivas, and D. Döpfer ${ }^{1}$

School of Veterinary Medicine, University of Wisconsin, 2015 Linden Drive, Madison 53706

- AgSource Cooperative Services DHIA served herds, from 3,078 herds in Upper Midwest with complete data, sorted 557 herds >200 cows likely to be freestall housed
- Principal component analysis found 16 DHIA variables that best explained differences between herds and performed cluster analysis
- Herds grouped into one of 6 clusters


## Cluster Group DHIA Characteristics

 Color variation (generally) represents "best" to "worst"| DHI Variable | Group 1 <br> $(\mathrm{n}=171)$ | Group 2 <br> $(\mathrm{n}=86)$ | Group 3 <br> $(\mathrm{n}=97)$ | Group 4 <br> $(\mathrm{n}=67)$ | Group 5 <br> $(\mathrm{n}=62)$ | Group 6 <br> $(\mathrm{n}=74)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Herd size, cows, lowest - highest | $493^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $270^{\mathrm{e}}$ | $365^{\mathrm{cd}}$ | $270^{\text {de }}$ | $403^{\mathrm{bc}}$ | $1097^{\mathrm{a}}$ |
| Milking freq., lowest - highest | $3.0^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $2.0^{\mathrm{d}}$ | $2.9^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $2.2^{\mathrm{c}}$ | $2.8^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $3.0^{\mathrm{a}}$ |
| \% 1 $^{\text {st }}$ Lactation, lowest - highest | $38.4^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $38.1^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $38.6^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $38.0^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $37.8^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $43.8^{\mathrm{a}}$ |
| Energy Corrected Milk, kg | $41.7^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $39.4^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $40.0^{\mathrm{ab}}$ | $33.9^{\mathrm{d}}$ | $36.9^{\mathrm{c}}$ | $40.2^{\mathrm{ab}}$ |
| Days In Milk | $182.9^{\mathrm{c}}$ | $179.7^{\mathrm{c}}$ | $195.5^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $189.1^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $192.5^{\mathrm{ab}}$ | $181.8^{\mathrm{c}}$ |
| Days Dry | $59.4^{\mathrm{ab}}$ | $59.4^{\mathrm{ab}}$ | $54.7^{\mathrm{c}}$ | $60.7^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $60.8^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $57.0^{\mathrm{bc}}$ |
| Age at 1 ${ }^{\text {st }}$ Calving | $24.1^{\mathrm{d}}$ | $24.5^{\mathrm{dc}}$ | $25.3^{\mathrm{ab}}$ | $25.6^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $24.9^{\mathrm{bc}}$ | $23.4^{\mathrm{e}}$ |
| Transition Cow Index, kg | $207.8^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $236.1^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $-10.9^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $-171.8^{\mathrm{c}}$ | $-212.9^{\mathrm{c}}$ | $-13.9^{\mathrm{b}}$ |
| Milk Peak Ratio | $74.4^{\mathrm{c}}$ | $74.1^{\mathrm{c}}$ | $77.8^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $77.6^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $76.4^{\mathrm{ab}}$ | $74.9^{\mathrm{bc}}$ |
| Linear Somatic Cell Score | $2.2^{\mathrm{d}}$ | $2.3^{\mathrm{d}}$ | $2.6^{\mathrm{c}}$ | $3.0^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $2.8^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $2.7^{\mathrm{c}}$ |
| \% New Udder Infections | $8.7^{\mathrm{c}}$ | $8.9^{\mathrm{c}}$ | $11.9^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $14.7^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $13.9^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $12.6^{\mathrm{b}}$ |
| \% Udder Infections 1 1t test | $11.0^{\mathrm{e}}$ | $13.7^{\mathrm{d}}$ | $15.7^{\mathrm{c}}$ | $19.9^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $17.8^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $14.5^{\mathrm{cd}}$ |
| \% Dry Period Infection Cures | $75.5^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $66.4^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $63.9^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $56.5^{\mathrm{c}}$ | $63.7^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $71.5^{\mathrm{a}}$ |
| \% Culled, Non-dairy, lowest - highest | $33.5^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $36.1^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $35.9^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $32.6^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $40.0^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $43.0^{\mathrm{a}}$ |
| \% Cows Died | $5.7^{\text {cd }}$ | $5.7^{\mathrm{cd}}$ | $6.3^{\mathrm{bc}}$ | $4.9^{\mathrm{d}}$ | $12.4^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $7.6^{\mathrm{b}}$ |
| \% Cows Died by 60 DIM | $2.3^{\text {bc }}$ | $2.7^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $2.4^{\mathrm{bc}}$ | $1.8^{\mathrm{c}}$ | $5.7^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $2.7^{\mathrm{b}}$ |

Survey of facility and management characteristics of large, Upper Midwest dairy herds clustered by Dairy Herd Improvement records
R. L. Brotzman, D. Döpfer, M. R. Foy, J. P. Hess, K. V. Nordlund, T. B. Bennett, and N. B. Cook ${ }^{1}$

School of Veterinary Medicine, University of Wisconsin, 2015 Linden Drive, Madison 53706

- Herds grouped into one of 6 clusters
- Telephone survey all 557 herds (201 responses) - facility and management
- Visited 22 herds in each of clusters 1, 2 and 6 (66 total) physical well-being


## Cluster Group Survey Questions

|  | (1) | - |  |  |  | mop | ALL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Characteristic | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |  |
| Energy Corrected Milk (lb per cow) | 42 | 40 | 40 | 34 | 37 | 40 |  |
| \% sand | 68 | 61 | 63 | 65 | 52 | 69 | 64 |
| \% mattress | 29 | 36 | 37 | 35 | 39 | 22 | 32 |
| \% 2-row pens | 48 | 70 | 56 | 26 | 45 | 38 | 48 |
| \% headlocks | 73 | 67 | 74 | 70 | 56 | 75 | 70 |
| \% feeding 2 dry cow rations | 70 | 55 | 52 | 48 | 61 | 78 | 63 |
| \% just-in-time calving | 57 | 39 | 48 | 43 | 35 | 88 | 54 |
| \% dedicated 1st lactation heifer pen | 84 | 48 | 74 | 61 | 70 | 97 | 75 |
| \% use custom heifer rearer | 49 | 24 | 30 | 13 | 30 | 78 | 41 |
| \% trim cows at least $2 \times$ per lactatior | 68 | 33 | 44 | 30 | 52 | 53 | 50 |
| \% trim heifers before calving | 51 | 30 | 33 | 17 | 30 | 53 | 39 |
| $\%$ using a synch program 1st breeding | 87 | 61 | 59 | 39 | 69 | 94 | 73 |
| \% rBST | 73 | 33 | 70 | 26 | 61 | 84 | 61 |
| \% Monensin | 89 | 78 | 78 | 64 | 91 | 84 | 82 |
| \# cows per FTE | 48 | 55 | 48 | 56 | 48 | 63 | 50 |
| $\mathrm{N}=$ | 63 | 33 | 27 | 23 | 23 | 32 | 201 |

## Physical Well-being



Visited 22 herds in each of clusters 1, 2 and 6 - 'Elite' group of 66 herds


## Lameness In 87 Finnish Dairy Herds

(Sarjokari et al., Livestock Science 156:44, 2013)

- Mean herd size 49 cows, production 8,984 kg
- Freestall housed, traditional milking parlors
- $23 \%$ herd lame on average, but lower if:
- Divided feed barrier vs post and rail
- Wider feed alleys
- Alleys less slippery
- Cleaner alleys
- Softer stalls
- Correctly located neck and front rails


## Multi-variate Model: Lameness

- Mixed Model to explain clinical lameness using 27 variables after univariate screening at $\mathrm{P}>0.2$, with group as a random effect
- Significant factors at $\mathrm{P}<0.05$ in final model:
- Stall Surface (deep bed $7.2 \%$ vs. mat 14.1\%)
- Pasture Access (yes $5.9 \%$ vs. no 15.4\%)
- Cows per FTE (benefit of fewer cows per FTE)

22 herds in each of clusters 1, 2 and 6 - 'Elite' group of 66 herds

## Topics

## - Stalls

-Floors

- Transition
- Cooling and

Ventilation

## Topics

## - Stalls



Dairyland Initiative



## Sand promotes fewer, longer lying bouts



## Sand promotes normalized resting behavior



## Change in Hoof Lesion Score (0-8) after 21 weeks on either sand or straw bedded freestalls

Norring et al., J Dairy Sci 91:570, 2008



## Bed Surfaces and Lying Time

 (Solano et al., 2015141 farms in Alberta, Ontario and Quebec)

## Lying Times and Surface Types



## Wisconsin Dairy Industry - Bedding!

|  | Inorganic <br> (Sand) | Manure <br> Solids | Organic |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}=$ | $156(60 \%)$ | $29(9 \%)$ | $62(19 \%)$ |
| RHA Milk kg <br> (lb) | 12,870 <br> $(28,314)$ | 11,779 <br> $(25,913)$ | 12,025 <br> $(26,455)$ |
| SCC ('000/ml) | 198 | 248 | 220 |

## The Sand/Mattress Difference

Data From 176 DHIA recorded Wisconsin Dairy Herds >200 cows

| Mean (SD) | Sand <br> Herds <br> $\boldsymbol{n = 1 1 7}$ | Mattress <br> Herds <br> $\boldsymbol{n = 5 9}$ | Sand <br> Benefit |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rolling Herd Average Milk (lb) | 27,234 <br> $(2,777)$ | 24,695 <br> $(2,855)$ | $+2,539$ <br> $(1,154 \mathrm{~kg})$ |
| Energy Corrected Milk (lb per cow) | 91 <br> $(9)$ | 84 <br> $(9)$ | $+7(3.2 \mathrm{~kg})$ |
| Transition Cow Index (lb) | +263 <br> $(843)$ | -58 <br> $(766)$ | 321 |
| Somatic Cell Count (‘000/ml) | 214 <br> $(71)$ | 227 <br> $(68)$ | -13 |
| Turnover Rate (\%) | 36 <br> $(8)$ | 38 <br> $(7)$ | -2 |

## Sand Stall Options

- No recycle
- Pack Mat ${ }^{T M}$ : saves $50 \%$ of sand (estimate $\sim 20 \mathrm{lb}(9 \mathrm{~kg})$ sand per stall per day)
- Concrete floor lagoon
- Agitate and pump


## Sand Stall Options

- No recycle
- Pack Mat™ : saves 50\% of sand (estimate ~ 20 lb sand per stall per day)
- Concrete floor lagoon
- Agitate and pump
- Recycle Sand
- Settling lanes
- Mechanical separation


## Settling Lanes




## Sieve Analysis



Experiment 1: Shape of stall surface changes in the days after new bedding is added


The distribution of the sand changed in the days after bedding was added and levelled. The stall surface became concave, with the maximum depths at the center of the freestall.

Experiments 2 and 3: Bedding level affects lying time


## Sand Conversion Options

1. Completely remove the platform and repour the curb
2. Add a bedding retainer to the rear curb and put sand over concrete or a mat

## Treated Landscape Timber



Landscape Timber comes in 8 foot (2.4m) long sections 1 stainless 81/2" (22cm) concrete lag bolts beneath each loop

## Fiber Glass Pipe


-3 $3^{3 / 16}$ inch O.D. 3/16" thick fiberglass pipe (comes in 30 foot lengths with beveled ends so that they slot together, cost is around $\$ 1.00$ per foot)



## Other Deep Loose Bedding Options

- Manure solids
- Sawdust, shavings
- Paper products
- Straw, lime mixture (Germany)
- Peatmoss (Finland)




## Resting Space Major Issues

- Insufficient resting space
- Poorly designed stall dividers causing injury
- Brisket locator obstructions



## By restraint ... <br> or

## Indexing




Cows need to be able to put their front foot over the brisket locator when rising


## Let's be clear on this....

- Research says that cows prefer stalls without brisket locators over stalls with locators 8 inches ( 20 cm ) high (Tucker et al., 2006)
- Locators above 4 inches ( 10 cm ) high obstruct the forward thrust of the forelimb as the cow rises
- Locators on stalls that are less than 8 feet $(2.4 \mathrm{~m})$ long don't do very much but get in the way ... especially if they are too high (mature cows are 8 feet ( 2.4 m )!
- Big stalls need well designed locators!







## Lunge and Bob Major Issues

- Insufficient stall length for front lunge
- Divider loop design that restricts side lunge in the absence of front lunge space
- Front lunge and bob obstructions
- Diagonal lying
$\square$ Resting area


The association between width and length
$\square$ Resting area

## 32 <br> 事事 <br> Lunge area



## Where can the cow lunge?

- To the front
- To the side







## Neck Rail Major Issues

- Neck rail located too low
- Incorrect horizontal location of the neck rail in mattress and deep loose bedded stalls
- Poor curb design

Neck rails in deep loose bedded stalls need to be $\sim 6$ " closer to the rear curb than in mat stalls


## Topics



## - Floors



Ventilation


White Line Abscess = trauma + handling



## 

## How Elite Herds Prevent Lameness Strategic Use of Rubber Flooring

| Characteristic | 66 Elite Herds |
| :--- | :---: |
| \% Rubber floors in pens | 5 |
| \% Rubber floors in transfer lanes | 15 |
| \% Rubber floors in holding areas | 41 |
| \% Rubber floors in parlors | 68 |



## Effect of Rubber Flooring Surfaces in Freestall Pens



Concrete Mat

All four studies show a reduction in lying time in the stall (mattress stalls)


## Texture Old Floors

## Topics

## - Stalls



## -Transition



## The Wisconsin Blueprint: Transition Cows

- 30 inches ( 0.75 m ) of bunk space 21 days before and after calving to ensure that all cows can eat at the same time
- Deep loose bedded freestalls sized to accommodate the size of the cows using them or a comfortable, dry bedded pack
- At least one stall per cow (or at least 100 square feet ( 10 sq m ) of bedded pack per cow)
- Minimize regrouping stress within the critical period 2-7 days before calving
- A quiet place to calve, with limited disturbance from humans and other cows - to ensure as natural a birth as possible with a lowered risk for dystocia and stillbirth

Wisconsin Herd Transition Management - 2015

- 44 herds
- Herd size 894 cows
- 20 day average prefresh stay
- 62\% freestall prefresh (29\% bedded pack)
- 78\% deep loose bedding (60\% sand)
- 40" (101 cm) bunk space per cow prefresh
- $80 \%$ group maternity pen, $20 \%$ individual pens


## Maternity Pen Options

1. Just-in-time calving - where cows are moved to the maternity pen (the pen in which the cow calves) within hours of birth
2. Short-stay maternity pen - where cows are moved to the maternity pen less than 2 days before they calve
3. Long-stay maternity pen - where cows are moved into the maternity pen more than 7 days before they calve

## 'Just-in-Time’ Calving

- Move cows from a freestall to a calving pen at the point of calving
- Commonly practiced by more than half of larger freestall herds


## TCI ${ }^{\circledR}$ and Days in Calving Pen



2011 Data from 201 freestall housed herds >200 cows

- Risk of stillbirth is reduced by moving cows with waterbag or feet showing to maternity pen vs cows with only mucus showing (Carrier et al., 2006)
- Moving cows in late stage I of labor have the longest labor (mucus showing) and 50\% reduction in lying time 1 h before calving (Proudfoot et al., 2013 JDS 96:1638)
- This requires around the clock supervision of the pre-fresh group 24/7 every hour.......
- Larger, 3X milking dairy herds


## A quiet private place to calve ...



Proudfoot et al., 2014. J. Dairy Sci. 97:2731-2739

## TCI ${ }^{\circledR}$ and Days in Calving Pen



2011 Data from 201 freestall housed herds >200 cows

## DMI (kg/d) comparing cows that

 remained vs. moved between groups Schirman et al., JDS 94:2312, 2011

## Maternity Pen Options

2. Short-stay maternity pen - where cows are moved to the maternity pen less than 2 days before they calve
3. Long-stay maternity pen - where cows are moved into the maternity pen more than 7 days before they calve

## Short-Stay Maternity Pen

- Cows within 2 days of calving avoid social contact and do not appear to be as affected by regrouping stress as cows 2-7 days before calving
- The success of this approach depends on the ability of workers to predict calving 2 days prior to the event
- This approach is therefore more applicable to smaller herds, typically less than ~ 250 cows, where dry cow groups are small and social stresses less than in larger herds.
- The elements critical to the success of short-stay maternity pens are:
- Excellent stockmanship and timing of calving
- A group maternity pen - to avoid prolonged isolation of individual cows


## Most common approach in smaller herds



## Bedded Pack Guidelines



## Maternity Pen Options

> 1. Just-in-time calving - where cows are moved to the maternity nen (the nen in which the cow calves) within hours of birth
> 2. Short-stay maternity pen - where cows are moved to the maternity nen less than 2 days before they calve
3. Long-stay maternity pen - where cows are moved into the maternity pen more than 7 days before they calve

## Long-Stay Maternity Pen

- With this strategy, we try to move cows into the maternity pen more than 7 days before calving.
- It is virtually impossible to predict that an an individual cow will calve in 7 days - but a group approach can be taken in herds more than $\sim 350$ cows
- The concept is to move a group of cows from the dry cow group to a maternity pen each week - with a group pen of sufficient capacity to accommodate a week of calving cows, and sufficient separate maternity pens to accommodate each group until they all calve, with the minimum of regrouping.


## $94 \%$ term calvings within 14 days



2419 calvings, stable group plus JIT system

## Long-Stay Maternity Pen

- Typically, 85-95\% of cows calve over a 14-day period around 280 days carried calf (DCC). This spread should be examined in the individual herd and the appropriate DCC selected to optimize the 14-day period chosen to accommodate the majority of the cows, while ensuring that most cows spend more than 7 days in the maternity pen.


## Long-Stay Maternity Pen

- 500 cow dairy freshening $1.04 * 500=520$ calvings per year
- Calvings per day @ avge = 1.5
- On average, herd will dry off $1.5^{\star} 7=10$ cows per week,
- Required capacity to freshen $150 \%$ of weekly average calving rate with space for cows to remain in pen for 14 days
$-150 \% \times 1.5$ calvings per day $\times 14$ days $=31$ cows
- We need $31 / 10=\sim 3 \times 10$ cow maternity pens to avoid regrouping
- Size at $\sim 150$ sq ft per cow

 with 2 isolation pens in each area


## How do we size the pre-fresh/dry cow pen?

- The only pen on the farm that the cows get to decide when they leave!
- Every cow on the farm occupies this pen during the course of a year
- Have to overbuild to accommodate calving surges and distribute the cost over all of the cows in the herd


## Average vs $90^{\text {th }}$ Percentile

-Heifers Cows - Total -Hef 90\%—Cows 90\%—Total 90\%



## Prefresh/Dry Pen Options

- Traditional Prefresh
- Sequential Fill Prefresh
- All-in, All-out Prefresh/Maternity



## Prefresh/Dry Pen Options

- Traditional Prefresh
- Sequential Fill Prefresh



# Sequential Fill Prefresh 

-21 day transition split into $3 \times 7$ day pens -Fill at the far end, move toward the calving area once a week
-Absorb ‘straggler’ cows that have yet to calve in pen nearest maternity area

## Prefresh/Dry Pen Options

- Traditional Prefresh
- Sequential Fill Prefresh
- All-in, All-out Prefresh/Maternity


# All-in, all-out Pre-fresh/Maternity 

Socially-Stable Pre-fresh Groups


UW Emmons Blaine Arlington Dairy Facility

## Topics

## - Stalls

- Floors - Transition - Cooling and Ventilation



## The Thermoneutral Zone



# Effects of Heat Stress: Physiological and Behavioral 




## Adoption of Heat Abatement Measures

| Characteristic | 66 Elite <br> Herds |
| :--- | :---: |
| Natural Ventilation | 86 |
| Fans in holding area | 98 |
| Soaking in holding area | 62 |
| Fans in pen | 84 |
| Soaking in pen | 79 |

## Too Hot to Lie Down!

Control Cow - ID 5482 [09/11/13-09/12/13]


Time

Body temperature increases $0.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ per hour when heat stressed cows lie down and decreases by $0.26^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ per hour when they stand

## Heat Stress and Resting Behavior



## Heat Stress and Resting Behavior




## Barn Orientation and the Sun

Sun angles of a north-south oriented freestall barn for August 21, 40 degrees north latitude (Omaha-Springfield).


## Barn Orientation and the Sun

Sun angles of an east-west oriented freestall barn for August 21, 40 degrees north latitude (Omaha-Springfield).


## Bunching

- Caused by heat stress and fly worry
- Cows equate 'hot' with 'light' - grazing animals
- Cows seek darker areas of the barn .... even though it may be hotter!
- Improve heat abatement and darken the barn
- Fly control


## Ventilation vs Cooling




## Ventilation = "Out with the old, in with the new"

## Natural Ventilation

- Thermal buoyancy (Chimney Effect)
- Warm air rises, cold air falls
- Wind
- Vector force into building openings
- Air passing over the roof creates a lifting force over the ridge


## Natural Ventilation Principles

## 1. Open ridge

2. Open eaves
3. Adequate interior roof slope (1:4 minimum, smooth)
4. Free from wind shadows


WINTER VENTILATIOH-NO WIMD OUTSIDE


WINTER VEKTILATION-WIMD BLOWNKG


## Wind Shadows

| Obstructing Height (feet) | Windward building or obstruction, Length (feet) |  |  |  |  |  | Obstructing Height (meters) | Windward building or obstruction, Length (meters) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 50 | 75 | 100 | 150 | 200 | 250 |  | 15.2 | 22.9 | 30.5 | 45.7 | 61.0 | 76.2 |
| 10 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 57 | 63 | 3.0 | 15.2 | 15.2 | 15.2 | 15.2 | 17.4 | 19.2 |
| 12 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 59 | 68 | 76 | 3.7 | 15.2 | 15.2 | 15.2 | 18.0 | 20.7 | 23.2 |
| 14 | 50 | 50 | 56 | 69 | 79 | 89 | 4.3 | 15.2 | 15.2 | 17.1 | 21.0 | 24.1 | 27.1 |
| 16 | 50 | 55 | 64 | 78 | 91 | 101 | 4.9 | 15.2 | 16.8 | 19.5 | 23.8 | 27.7 | 30.8 |
| 18 | 51 | 62 | 72 | 88 | 102 | 114 | 5.5 | 15.5 | 18.9 | 21.9 | 26.8 | 31.1 | 34.7 |
| 20 | 57 | 69 | 80 | 98 | 113 | 126 | 6.1 | 17.4 | 21.0 | 24.4 | 29.9 | 34.4 | 38.4 |
| 22 | 62 | 76 | 88 | 108 | 124 | 139 | 6.7 | 18.9 | 23.2 | 26.8 | 32.9 | 37.8 | 42.4 |
| 24 | 68 | 83 | 96 | 118 | 136 | 152 | 7.3 | 20.7 | 25.3 | 29.3 | 36.0 | 41.5 | 46.3 |
| 26 | 74 | 90 | 104 | 127 | 147 | 164 | 7.9 | 22.6 | 27.4 | 31.7 | 38.7 | 44.8 | 50.0 |
| 28 | 79 | 97 | 112 | 137 | 158 | 177 | 8.5 | 24.1 | 29.6 | 34.1 | 41.8 | 48.2 | 53.9 |
| 30 | 85 | 104 | 120 | 147 | 170 | 190 | 9.1 | 25.9 | 31.7 | 36.6 | 44.8 | 51.8 | 57.9 |

## Wind Direction in Wisconsin



## Mechanical Ventilation sonnel



Inlets need to be correctly sized and located to draw fresh air in throughout the entire barn with fast entry speeds providing 35-85 Air Changes per Hour (ACH)

## Mechanical Ventilation - Cross

Low roof pitch (0.5:12) and baffles to keep air moving closer to cows

Fans located along one sidewall
Inlets located on opposite sidewall, $\pm$ cooling pad

| Tunnel | Ventilation System | Cross |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Along the length of the barn | Air flow direction | Across the width of the barn |
| Usually 4 or 6 rows | Rows of stalls | Can be designed with 4-16 rows, with 8-12 most common |
| South end of a NS oriented barn or East end of an EW oriented barn | Usual fan location (to avoid fans working against prevailing winds) | East side of a NS oriented barn or North of an EW oriented barn |
| Usually longer than a cross | Air flow distance | Usually shorter than a tunnel |
| At the end wall or along the side walls at one end of the barn, providing less even air entry distribution | Inlet location | Along the entire length of the barn, providing evenly distributed air entry over a greater distance |
| Problems with air flow along the feed and stall alleys once the air enters the barn - path of least resistance | Air distribution | Air travels perpendicular to the alleys, with potentially better distribution of air in the cow pen |
| Influence air flow over very few stalls | Use of baffles to redirect the air toward the cow | Function well to distribute air at high speed over a row of stalls along the length of the barn |
| More restricted space to provide necessary surface area | Use of Evaporative Cooling Pads | Better designed along the inlet for even distribution |
| Roof pitch and openings often suitable for natural ventilation in winter/spring/fall | Natural ventilation option | Wide-body barns usually have low roof pitch and side wall location of fans precludes use as an inlet |
| Potential for natural ventilation and improved air flow with lower risk for freezing | Winter ventilation | Air distribution problematic at low ACH - freezing alleys along inlet side of barn common |
| Largely independent of barn but transfer lane must be managed as a potential inlet | Location of the milking center | Problematic as frequently located at the air discharge side of the barn. Transfer lane may also serve as an inlet. |
| Optional natural ventilation in an emergency | Energy dependence | 24/7 requiring back-up generator and emergency plan |
| Compatible | Compatibility with organic bedding | Air speeds may create problems with moving bedding - dust and air hygiene problems |
| Poorer control of light intensity in barns with a natural ventilation option | Photoperiod | Potential for better control of light intensity |
| Generally barns are traditional width, but they may be spaced closer together vs naturally ventilated barns | Footprint | Potential to increase \#cows housed in available space in wide-bodies barns |

Can we create a hybrid barn that naturally ventilates when the wind blows in the winter, and mechanically ventilates when we need an 'assist' in the summer?

## How Cows Cool

- Conduction
- Convection
- Radiation
- Evaporation

- When ambient temperature approaches body temperature, the only viable route of heat loss is evaporation - sweating and thermal panting


## Methods of Cooling

Cool the Cow

- Air
- Soak
- Air and Soak

Cool the Air

- Misting
- Evaporative Pads
- (Air Conditioning)

Air Movement and Soaking

## Air velocity \& wet skin temperature



Berman, JDS 91:4571, 2008

## Fan discharge \& throw distance



| Fan Diameter | 0.9 meters | 1.2 meters |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5191 Liters/sec | 9439 Liters/sec |
| Distance from Fan, m | Air Speed, m/s | Air Speed, m/s |
| 1.5 | 4.2 | 7.7 |
| 3 | 1.3 | 2.3 |
| 4.6 | 0.64 | 1.2 |
| 6.1 | 0.39 | 0.71 |
| 7.6 | 0.27 | 0.48 |
| 9.1 | 0.19 | 0.36 |
| 10.7 | 0.15 | 0.27 |
| 12.1 | 0.11 | 0.22 |
| 13.7 | 0.10 | 0.18 |
| 15.2 | 0.08 | 0.15 |
| Fan Diameter | 3 ft | 4 ft |
|  | 11,000 cfm | 20,000 cfm |
| Distance from Fan, ft | Air Speed, ft/min | Air Speed, ft/min |
| 5 | 834 | 1516 |
| 10 | 253 | 461 |
| 15 | 126 | 230 |
| 20 | 77 | 140 |
| 25 | 53 | 95 |
| 30 | 38 | 70 |
| 35 | 29 | 54 |
| 40 | 23 | 43 |
| 45 | 19 | 35 |
| 50 | 16 | 29 |

- With 3-ft fan, optimal air speed of 200400 ft / minute is 7-11 feet from fan
- With 4-ft fan, optimal zone is 10-15 ft from fan
- Optimal speeds delivered over ~50-75 square feet of area



SMART targeted soaking systems - parlor exit lanes, soaker pens?

## Topics

## - Stalls

-Floors

- Transition
- Cooling and

Ventilation

## Identify the rate limiting step



## AMS: A small but rapidly growing segment of our industry



## AMS Challenges in North America (529 herds)

| Numeric Variables | Mean | Standard Deviation |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Cows_per_Robot | 50.5 | 9.54 |
| Average_DIM | 178 | 27.87 |
| Kg_Concentrate_per_100kg_Milk | 15.86 | 5.38 |
| Rest_Feed_\% | 7.72 | 7.38 |
| Number_of_Refusals (per cow per day) | 1.86 | 1.38 |
| Number_of_Failures (per robot per day) | 5.49 | 3.46 |
| Production_per_Cow_per_Day kg | 32 | 4.91 |
| Production_per_Robot_per_Day kg | 1627 | 397 |
| Number_of_Milkings (per cow per day) | 2.91 | 0.36 |
| Milk_Speed (kg per minutes) | 2.59 | 0.31 |
| Average_Boxtime (minutes) | 6.84 | 0.70 |
| Number of Connection Attempts (per cow per day) | 1.41 | 0.23 |

Temblay et al, submitted

# AMS: Poor Decisions 

- Slatted floors
- Mattress beds
- 3-row pens

The Dairyland Initiative is Sponsored by:
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